

# Organization of Victims of Psychotronic (Mind/Body Control) Weapons

REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE UN CONVENTION

ON THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

IN CANADA

Organization of Victims of Psychotronic (Mind/Body Control) Weapons

the 32nd session

March 2025

Contents

Preface .....	3
About Organization of Victims of Psychotronic (Mind/Body Control) Weapons .....	4
About our struggle with police .....	6
About our struggle with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) .....	7
About organized gang stalking .....	7
More about our political activities .....	8
About our lawsuits .....	9
International and Canadian laws breached by Canadian government and its institutions ..	10
Amendments to the Canadian Constitution and Criminal Laws .....	15
Our demands .....	19

Preface

Organization of Victims of Psychotronic (Mind/Body Control) Weapons is an international human rights organization. Most members of it live in Canada, and are persons with perceived and/or actual disabilities. A person, who runs the organization and is principal author of this report, lives in Canada as well. Her name is Galina Kurdina, and she has an official disability in Canada.

This report was prepared in cooperation with International Resistance Movement Against Neuro Technological Torture, a human rights organization, which is led by and comprising persons with perceived disabilities.

About Organization of Victims of Psychotronic (Mind/Body Control) Weapons. Organization of Victims of Psychotronic (or Mind/Body Control, Directed Pulsed Radio Frequency, Electronic, Directed Energy, Neurological, Remote Neural Monitoring, Non-lethal) Weapons was established in 2009. We are writing you on behalf of it in order to ask for your help for the many constituents in Canada, who are being targeted unjustly or used as human subjects in experiments without their informed consent. We, and many others in Canada, are being TORTURED AND MUTILATED IN A MIND/BODY CONTROL NAZI STYLE CONCENTRATION CAMP 24/7, which would not happen in a properly functioning legal system/society.

Victims of Psychotronic weapons detail the most extreme and totalitarian violations of human rights in human history, including the most horrendous incidents of psychological torture, cyber-torture, mental anguish, physical torture and mutilations from remote locations. Criminals may use Neurotechnology to implant people with microchips or nanomaterials. Perpetrators place victims under continuous surveillance, no matter where they are. They monitor the human brain, including thoughts, reactions, motor commands, auditory events and visual images. They continuously alter consciousness, introduce impulses, commands, voices[1] [2], noises, images, "dreams", and other disturbances into the brain. They directly assault, manipulate, abuse and torture bodies of victims, including performing advanced medical procedures from remote locations.

Victims of assault by the aforementioned weapons and technologies, psychological and physical torture experience practically all symptoms of the so-called "Havana Syndrome" [3] (another name of the Havana Syndrome is Anomalous Health Incidents or AHI[4]). Some "medical professionals" blatantly ignore scientific evidence, and try to prevent exposure of decades of lies about victims of the Havana Syndrome. These "doctors" may claim that causes of this Syndrome are mass hysteria, or sounds of crickets, or something like that.

---

1 Microwave auditory effect Wikipedia

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Microwave\\_auditory\\_effect](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Microwave_auditory_effect)

2 Voice to skull devices, 2004

<https://web.archive.org/web/20040112103718/call.army.mil/products//thesaur/00016275.htm>

3 The Mystery of the Havana Syndrome The New Yorker, 9 November 2018

<https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2018/11/19/the-mystery-of-the-havana-syndrome>

4. Anomalous Health Incidents (AHI)

<https://www.state.gov/health-incident-response-task-force/>

Despite a lot of such misinformation, the American National Academy of Sciences made a conclusion that many of the distinctive and acute signs, symptoms, and observations, reported by targeted government employees and victims of Havana Syndrome, are consistent best of all with the effects of directed pulsed radio frequency (RF) energy[5].

Victims of Havana Syndrome experience hard pressure on their heads and ears, ear pain, headaches, voices in their heads and torture with intense loud noise, nausea, dizziness, confusion, disorientation, fatigue, loss of memory, difficulty concentrating, insomnia, drowsiness, sensitivity to light, sensitivity to noise, blurred vision, loss of balance and coordination of movements, and many other symptoms. However, symptoms of Victims of Psychotronic (or Mind/Body Control) Weapons are not limited to the above-mentioned. These victims, many call themselves Targeted Individuals (TIs), may experience much more sinister, dangerous and harmful effects upon them:

- 1). Visual distortions and hallucinations, artificial dreams.
- 2). Manipulation of human behavior: forced speech, involuntary body movements, induced actions, transmission of specific commands into the subconscious, compulsory execution of these commands.
- 3). Manipulation of will, emotions, feelings, and sensations.
- 4). Induced thoughts, reading thoughts remotely, retrieving memories, implanting personalities.

- 5). Debilitation of mental acuity: disruption of ability to focus and think rationally and independently.
- 6). Never experienced before inadequate states, like narcotic intoxication, depression, obsessive desires and so on.
- 7). Cramps, seizures and spasms
- 8). Excruciating pain in any part of the body, heart attacks, chest pressure, vibrations, burning sensations, cooked alive sensations, burnt skin, and other serious medical conditions.

---

5 An Assessment of Illness in U.S. Government Employees and Their Families at Overseas Embassies National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2020

<https://nap.nationalacademies.org/catalog/25889/an-assessment-of-illness-in-us-government-employees-and-their-families-at-overseas-embassies>

Some victims developed all these symptoms during several hours or even minutes after beginning of mind control attacks upon them. Level of constant mind control effects upon them may be extreme. These effects upon targeted individuals have practically always caused disability and inability to work.

Some TIs are subject to harassment and organized stalking in their communities. Some TIs receive intentional false psychiatric diagnoses and refusals to investigate reported crimes. Therefore, in addition, they become victims of the systems, from which they are seeking help (medical institutions and law enforcement).

About our struggle with police. Canadian TIs reported about these crimes (including names and addresses of suspects) to Canadian police many times. However, police refused to take their reports seriously and investigate. Police did not want to listen to the victims and directed them to medical doctors; said that police did not investigate crimes, committed by electromagnetic weapons; claimed that assaults by means of electromagnetic weapons were not assaults at all; explained that they worked for the Canadian Government and could not investigate crimes, committed or sanctioned by the Government. Police officers from Toronto Police Service asked one of the members of our Organization, whether it could be a foreign government, which attacked her, and she answered that she believed it was the Canadian Government (at least, it sanctioned all these Psychotronic attacks and covered or possibly employed organized criminals). She said it, because police, Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) and other Government structures in Canada had been aware of these experiments upon her for many years, but did not investigate them, despite the fact that the perpetrators lived and visited a house at 73 Mossbrook Cres, Scarborough, Ontario, Canada, where she rented an apartment in 2005-2006. This targeted individual (TI) heard their speech, saw their faces and reported it to the police and RCMP with the names of the suspects and the address.

Furthermore, the Government of Canada has a history of abuse that resulted in disease and premature deaths of people (MKUltra[6] experiments at the Allan Memorial Institute of McGill University in Montreal, Quebec, Canada from 1957 to 1964).

---

6 MKUltra hearings 1977 [https://www.havanasynndrome.nl/static/media/human\\_drug\\_testing\\_by\\_the\\_cia\\_1977\\_hearings\\_before\\_us\\_senate.pdf](https://www.havanasynndrome.nl/static/media/human_drug_testing_by_the_cia_1977_hearings_before_us_senate.pdf)

About our struggle with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP). We called and came to RCMP in person many times. We reported to them our symptoms, names and addresses of suspects, but RCMP officers answered that there were no laws to defend us, and, furthermore, they did not see anything criminal in this situation.

One member of our Organization filed an online complaint with the RCMP at the end of 2008, where she provided police with a name of a suspect (Lei Chen) and his address (73, Mossbrook Cres., Scarborough, Ontario, Canada). The RCMP started an investigation of the Psychotronic attacks upon her in January 2009. Corporal Clamp of the RCMP carried on this investigation and said to this victim over phone that RCMP officers talked to the suspect Lei Chen, and he confessed that he had studied Psychotronic Weapons and their influence on psyche of people. The RCMP stopped the investigation immediately after this conversation, because, if they had found criminals, who attacked this targeted individual by means of Psychotronic Weapons, they would have proved their own negligence, since this victim reported to the RCMP about the crime for the first time in 2006, 3 years earlier. Corporal Clamp said to the targeted individual that there were no laws to defend her. It was not true. There were many International and Canadian laws, which defended us, victims. For example, Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms, Canadian criminal laws against negligence, fraud, kidnapping, assault, battery, torture, harassment, invasion of privacy and other. However, Corporal Clamp said to this TI that they looked at Canadian laws differently. Corporal Clamp said that these crimes were not under jurisdiction of RCMP, and, if the member of our Organization needed a regular, official investigation of the crime, committed by means of electromagnetic and microwave weapons, she had to go to the Toronto Police Services. However, when the victim and other members of the Organization came to police, they were immediately redirected back to RSMP.

About organized gang stalking. Some targeted individuals are subjects to harassment and organized stalking in their communities. Some victims receive death threats. We reported to the Canadian Police about these incidents. In one case, we provided Canadian police with a name and an address of a suspect: Alan Kelly from Welland, Ontario, Canada (he later moved to Niagara Falls, Ontario, Canada), and police started an investigation. However, it happened that one of the most important documents with a confession of the Alan Kelly (that he sent emails with death threats) disappeared from a file in an office of a prosecutor. The prosecutor said that it was useless even to start a court hearing without the confession, and the suspect got away without any criminal charges. We, victims, thought that such things may happen somewhere in countries with high corruption, however, Canada is not better than the most corrupted countries in the world, if documents disappear from files in offices of prosecutors.

More about our political activities. Members of our Organization contacted the Ministry of Health in Ottawa, the United Nations Office in Ottawa, went to the Ontario Information and Privacy Commissioner Department, visited Member of Provincial Parliament for Don Valley East David Caplan, talked to Michael Grant Ignatieff, leader of the Liberal Party of Canada and Leader of the Official Opposition from 2008 until 2011. Actually, we met many Canadian politicians in person.

Our Organization submitted a Petition against misuse of Psychotronic (mind/body control) Weapons to Member of Parliament Mr. John McKay in 2011. We collected more than 1000 signatures for it. On the 23 of November 2011, the Petition was presented (tabled) in the House of Commons in Ottawa, its number was 411-0188. We received an answer of the Canadian Government: "The Government takes note of the Petitioners' concerns; however, the Government has no information on any such activities." We sent the Petition with signatures and the answer of the Canadian Government to the Human Rights Committee of UN by a registered letter on the 28th of March 2012. UN received and confirmed it, but did not respond.

Our Organization collected more than 3000 signatures for the second Petition against misuse of Psychotronic (mind/body control) Weapons. Member of Parliament Mr. John McKay tabled this petition on the 3d of May 2018. Its number was 421-02313. We have received the second almost identical answer from the Canadian Government that it took notes of the Petitioners' concerns, but had no information on any such activities.

The third Petition against misuse of Psychotronic Weapons collected more than 1000 signatures in Canada in 2021. It was successfully certified in the House of Commons in December 2021, but Member of Parliament Julie Dabrusin, who agreed to present the Petition in summer 2021, changed her mind and refused to table it in the House of Commons in February 2022. She wrote that it was misinformation. Of course, our reports and testimonies about misuse of Psychotronic Weapons in Canada are absolutely true, and the fake is so called Canadian advanced democracy, since Canadian secret police RCMP refused to continue investigation after they

had heard in January 2009 the confession of suspect, Lei Chen, that he studied Psychotronic Weapons and their influence on psyche of people. Not to mention all the Canadian police's refusals to investigate our reports. Such things would not happen in a real democratic society.

Members of our Organization wrote numerous letters to the Canadian Government, its associates, Canadian newspapers, magazines, TV, radio and all over the world. We regularly protest against misuse of Psychotronic weapons in Toronto and other places in Canada.

About our lawsuits. We filed with Canadian Courts many lawsuits against the Toronto Police Services Board, RCMP, psychiatrists, who made false psychiatric diagnoses for targeted individuals. We filed a complaint against the Toronto Police Services with the Human Rights Tribunal of Ontario. All our actions were dismissed.

Reasons of these dismissals varied. For example, one member of our Organization tried to examine Constable Corbie from the 33 division of Toronto Police Service for her actions against psychiatrists in the Superior Court of Justice in Ontario, since Corbie had confessed to her that he experienced microwave hearing (noises and sounds in his head) at her place on the 6th of February 2008. If Constable Corbie had been put on oath and had testified about microwave hearing in the room of the targeted individual on the 6th of February 2008, then Psychotronic Weapons attacks and negligence of the police would have been proved in the court.

At the first motion in the Superior Court, it was said to the targeted individual to examine Constable Corbie orally. She called Corbie and asked to set date and time, comfortable for him to come to a reporting service and be examined orally before a pending motion. He refused to be examined and said that nobody should come to the 33 Division of the Toronto Police Services with any court documents for him. The victim brought another motion to examine him by means of written questions, since there was no way to examine him orally. Mr. Robin Squire, a lawyer for the Toronto Police Services Board, said to Master Hawkins at the motion on the 19th of May, 2009, "If Plaintiff examines Constable Corbie, she will get a proof of the police negligence". Furthermore, Mr. Robin Squire asked Master Hawkins not to mention these words in the transcript of the motion. The targeted individual repeated these words of Mr. Squire in the Court of Appeal on the 15th of December 2009, where she asked to send the case back to the Superior Court of Justice and let her examine Constable Corbie. Mr. Robin Squire was at this appeal hearing, heard her words, and did not object them. The TI ordered a transcript of this motion in the Superior Court of Justice and was unable to find this phrase of Robin Squire: "If Plaintiff examines Constable Corbie, she will get a proof of the police negligence". It disappeared from the transcript. Master Hawkins did exactly what Mr. Robin Squire requested him to do.

International and Canadian laws, breached by Canadian government and its institutions. We have contacted Parliament, Courts, RCMP, police, Security/Intelligence Agencies and other Canadian Government institutions over and over and over again, but have had our appeals for assistance and protection completely ignored or suppressed. The government "doing nothing" in this situation is a form of sanctioning these horrendous, fascist mind/body control experiments on innocent and defenseless people in Canada. That is why the Canadian Government is responsible for these crimes. The government of Canada must uphold the rule of National, International and Human Rights Laws, the UN Convention Against Torture, the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and protect Canadian citizens. Instead, we see the huge disconnect between its professed principles and values and the reality.

There are many of International and Canadian laws that defend Targeted Individuals in Canada. For example, some of them:

1. The Nuremberg Code: Directives for Human Experimentation. Trials of War Criminals Before The Nuremberg Military Tribunals Under Control Council Law [7][8]:

"... The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential. This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of

constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision.

This latter element requires that before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all

inconveniences and hazards reasonable to be expected; and the effects upon his health

---

## 7 Unethical human experimentation – Wikipedia

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unethical\\_human\\_experimentation](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Unethical_human_experimentation)

## 8 The Nuremberg Code: Directives for Human Experimentation

<https://muhc.ca/sites/default/files/users/user136/The%20Nuremberg%20Code.pdf>

or person which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and

responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity”.

## 2. Declaration of Helsinki 1964[9]:

The Declaration is morally binding on physicians, and that obligation overrides any national or local laws or regulations, if the Declaration provides for a higher standard of protection of humans than the latter. Investigators still have to abide by local legislation, but will be held to the higher standard.

The fundamental principle is respect for the individual (Article 8), his or her right to self-determination and the right to make informed decisions (Articles 20, 21 and 22) regarding participation in research, both initially and during the course of the research. The investigator's duty is solely to the patient (Articles 2, 3 and 10) or volunteer (Articles 16, 18), and while there is always a need for research (Article 6), the participant's welfare must always take precedence over the interests of science and society (Article 5), and ethical considerations must always take precedence over laws and regulations (Article 9).

## 3. The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities[10]:

Article 14 (persons with disabilities, on an equal basis with others, enjoy the right to liberty and security, are not deprived of their liberty unlawfully or arbitrarily, and any deprivation of liberty is in conformity with the law), Article 15 (no one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment; in particular, no one shall be subjected without his or her free consent to medical or scientific experimentation), Article 16 (freedom from exploitation, violence and abuse) and Article 17 (every person with disabilities has a right to respect for his or her physical and mental integrity on an equal basis with others).

## 4. The United Nations “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Framework[11], which sets out that

---

## 9 Declaration of Helsinki 1964

<https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki/>

## 10 UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-rights-persons-disabilities>

## 11 The United Nations “Protect, Respect and Remedy” Framework

<https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/625292?ln=en&v=pdf>

States have a duty to protect, and that business enterprises, including technology companies, have a responsibility to respect human rights.

5. OHCHR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights[12](Article 15), which emphasize the right to benefit from scientific progress and its applications, while ensuring they align with human rights.

6. UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights[13], which was proclaimed by the United Nations General Assembly on 10 December 1948 as a common standard of achievements for all peoples and all nations. It sets out, for the first time, fundamental human rights to be universally protected.

7. OHCHR Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Being Subjected to Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment[14] in 1975, and Resolution 3452 (XXX) of the General Assembly of the United Nations Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Being Subjected to Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment in 1975, which all place an obligation on States to prevent torture.

8. The UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment[15] in 1984:

“the term “torture” means any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as ... discrimination of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity. It does not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions.”

---

## 12 OHCHR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-economic-social-and-cultural-rights>

## 13 UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights

<https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>

## 14 Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Being Subjected to Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/declaration-protection-all-persons-being-subjected-torture-and>

## 15 The UN Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

<https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/convention-against-torture-and-other-cruel-inhuman-or-degrading>

## 9. Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court[16]:

Article 7

Crimes Against Humanity. For the purpose of this Statute, 'crime against humanity' means any of the following acts when committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack:

Murder;

Extermination;

Enslavement;

Deportation or forcible transfer of population;

Imprisonment or other severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law;

Torture;

Rape, sexual slavery, enforced prostitution, forced pregnancy, enforced sterilization, or any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity;

Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender as defined in paragraph 3, or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court;

Enforced disappearance of persons;

The crime of apartheid;

Other inhumane acts of a similar character intentionally causing great suffering, or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health.

10. Canadian Charter Of Rights And Freedoms[17]:

2. Everyone has the following fundamental freedoms:

...

(b) freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression...

...

7. Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of the person and the right not to

---

16 Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court Article 7.2.e. Crimes against humanity

<https://www.icc-cpi.int/sites/default/files/2024-05/Rome-Statute-eng.pdf>

17 Canadian Charter Of Rights And Freedoms

<https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/csj-sjc/rfc-dlc/ccrf-ccdl/index.html>

be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice.

...

12. Everyone has the right not to be subjected to any cruel or unusual treatment or punishment.

11. Canadian Criminal Code ( R.S., 1985, C. C-46 ) [18]:

219. (1) Every one is criminally negligent who (a) in doing anything, or (b) in omitting to do anything that it is his duty to do, shows wanton or reckless disregard for the lives or safety of other persons.

380. (1) Every one who, by deceit, falsehood or other fraudulent means, whether or not it is a false pretence within the meaning of this Act, defrauds the public or any person, whether ascertained or not, of any property, money or valuable security or any service, (a) is guilty of an indictable offence... .

279. (1) Every person commits an offence who kidnaps a person with intent (a) to cause the person to be confined or imprisoned against the person's will; (b) to cause the person to be unlawfully sent or transported out of Canada against the person's will; or (c) to hold the person for ransom or to service against the person's will.

267. Every person is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than 10 years or is guilty of an offence punishable on summary conviction who, in committing an assault, (a) carries, uses or threatens to use a weapon or an imitation thereof, (b) causes bodily harm to the complainant ...

269.1 (1) Every official, or every person acting at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of an official, who inflicts torture on any other person is guilty of an indictable offence ... .

264. (1) No person shall, without lawful authority and knowing that another person is harassed or recklessly as to whether the other person is harassed, engage in conduct referred to in subsection (2) that causes that other person reasonably, in all the circumstances, to fear for their safety or the safety of anyone known to them.

---

18 Canadian Criminal Code, 1985

<https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/acts/c-46/>

(2) The conduct mentioned in subsection (1) consists of (a) repeatedly following from place to place the other person or anyone known to them; (b) repeatedly communicating with, either directly or indirectly, the other person or anyone known to them;(c) besetting or watching the dwelling-house, or place where the other person, or anyone known to them, resides, works, carries on business or happens to be; or (d) engaging in threatening conduct directed at the other person or any member of their family.

184 (1) Every person who, by means of any electro-magnetic, acoustic, mechanical or other device, knowingly intercepts a private communication is guilty of

(a) an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term of not more than five years; or

(b) an offence punishable on summary conviction ...

Amendments to the Canadian Constitution and Criminal Laws. As everybody can see, there are human rights in the Canadian Constitution, laws in International laws and Canadian Criminal Code that completely defend Canadian people against attempts of murder and murder with deadly Psychotronic weapons, physical assault and battery with deadly Psychotronic weaponry, health damage, malicious intentional act of a personal felony, breach of privacy, constant control by covert torture organizations and by organized stalking, sustained surreptitious monitoring, and cyberstalking. However, bearing in mind that neurotechnology allows the connecting of the human brain directly to digital networks through devices and procedures that may be used, among other things, to access, monitor and manipulate human neural system, we deem that it is about time to introduce amendments to the documents of Canada to make laws more detailed and specific regarding Psychotronic Weapons and Neurotechnologies.

Those documents should enlarge the legal definitions, protecting human rights and democracy, and enlarge the definition of torture with respect to the existence of the above-mentioned Psychotronic Weapons and dual use neurotechnologies. It is unacceptable that any human being in Canada could be deprived of his or her dignity by manipulation of his or her nervous system and personality by Psychotronic Weapons. These modifications in Canadian Constitution and Canadian Criminal Code will make lives of justices, prosecutors, attorneys, victims, and, actually, all people in Canada easier. For example, it makes sense to create and introduce in the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms new neurorights, such as the free will of thought, personal identity, mental privacy, equitable access to technologies that increase human capacities, and protection against discrimination:

Section 2 on the freedom of thought, conscience and belief may be amended in this way: "Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, belief, opinion and expression. Using dual-use neurotechnologies and technical means to remotely produce thoughts in the brains of human beings without their informed consent is strictly prohibited under harsh sentences. Everyone has the right to free will to choose one's action. Abusing this right by applying to human beings without their informed consent electromagnetic waves, directed energy, other physical fields or methods, combining those technologies with dual use neurotechnologies, which interfere with human brain activity, is forbidden by the law under heavy penalties."

Section 7 may be amended in this way: "Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of the person and the right not to be deprived thereof except in accordance with the principles of fundamental justice. Nobody will be deprived of their right to life by the use of weapons, based on remotely-produced effects of electromagnetic or any other physical fields and combined with dual use neurotechnologies, to cause the death of the person. Use of such fields to kill people will be considered murder. Everyone has the right to liberty and security, including the protection of his or her body by the law from abuse of this right by electromagnetic waves, directed energy or other physical fields or methods, combined with the dual use neurotechnologies without him/her informed consent."

Section 12 may be amended in the following way: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to any cruel, unusual, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. Depriving a person of his or her free will with the dual use neurotechnologies, imposing on him or her thoughts, emotions, hallucinations etc., manipulating his or her mind and turning him or her, in this way, into a computer device without his or her informed consent will be considered to be a torture, as well as imposing on him or her, in this way, sicknesses, illnesses, malfunction of organs, pain, control of limbs, burns inside or on his or her body, by means of electromagnetic waves, directed energy weapons or other physical means or fields."

4. Section regarding right to the integrity of the person has to be added to the Canadian Constitution in the following way: "Everyone has the right to identity or the ability to control both one's physical and mental integrity."

Section about mental privacy, i.e. ability to keep thoughts protected against disclosure, should be added to the Charter of Rights: "It is prohibited, under harsh sentences, to remotely access human brain to decipher its activity with the use of technical means without person's informed consent or some other legitimate basis, laid down by law. Data, contained in human brain, must be processed fairly for specified purposes and based on the informed consent of the person concerned or some other legitimate basis laid down by law. Everyone has the right of access to this data which has been collected concerning him or her, and the right to have it rectified."

Section regarding the right to fair access to mental augmentation should be added to the Charter of Rights. This right means the ability to ensure that the benefits of improvements to sensory and mental capacity through neurotechnology are distributed justly in the population.

Section with the right to protection from algorithmic bias must be added to the Canadian Constitution as well. This right means the ability to ensure that technologies do not insert prejudices.

The following are necessary amendments of Criminal laws in Canada:

- Existing laws must be improved and include description of Psychotronic (mind/body control) and other electromagnetic weapons.
- Existing laws must be improved and include gang stalking of targeted individuals by groups of perpetrators and government organizations (like secret police).
- Canadian Legislation should recognize torture, committed by any perpetrator, not just by officials or at their instigation or consent. Section 269 of the Criminal Code of Canada reads "269.1 (1) Every official, or every person acting at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of an official, who inflicts torture on any other person is guilty of an indictable offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding fourteen years." First, criminals may torture victims without instigation, consent or acquiescence of officials, since perpetrators do not necessarily need approval of officials to commit torture. Next, Ridiculous punishment for torturers in Canada – 14 years - are not enough. Punishment for torture must be up to lifetime in prison with complete confiscation of money and property of the criminals. If criminals managed to pass their assets to other people, like their relatives, the assets must be confiscated from their relatives as well.
- Canadian legislation do not adequately punish witnesses of torture, who did not report about this crime. Witnesses of torture, who did not report to police about this crime, must get at least 15 years in prison.
- Victims of torture should be entitled to substantial financial compensation for damages, losses and suffering. Confiscated criminals' money and property must be used to compensate victims first of all. If this money is not enough, then the Canadian government must pay victims as well.
- Military and intelligence in many countries, not only Canadian, granted themselves permission to test/use electromagnetic weapons on people without their informed consent. Such directives contradict international laws, constitutions and legislations of their own countries. These directives and people, who created and approved them, are themselves criminal. Military, intelligence and judicial people, who created and approved directives that electromagnetic weapons may be tested or used on humans without their informed consent, should be criminally liable for these initiatives and brought to justice. They are liable to imprisonment for a term for at least 15 years. The unlawful directives must be immediately cancelled/revoked.
- Law enforcement workers in Canada are not trained to detect and prosecute crimes, committed by means of Psychotronic Weapons. Police officers must be trained to detect and investigate crimes, committed by means of Psychotronic Weaponry. Alternatively, police must be obliged to redirect investigation of cybertorture cases to organizations and services that have necessary equipment and trained personnel (like military intelligence).
- Law enforcement officers are criminally negligent and ignore reports of victims of cybertorture or intentionally send targeted individuals to mental institutions. Criminal mind control experiments on victims without their informed consent must be thoroughly investigated. Law enforcement officers, who ignore reports from victims of cybertorture or intentionally send them to mental institutions, are liable to imprisonment for a term up to 15 years.
- There must be public exposure of fascist scientists, these modern doctors Mengele, who carry out mind and body control experiments on involuntary human subjects. These scientists are liable up to lifetime imprisonment.
- Scientists, who carry out mind and body control experiments on involuntary human subjects, can not be allowed to use data and knowledge, obtained in the criminal way. There must be a strict prohibition to use data and knowledge, obtained in the criminal way without informed consent of involuntary human subjects, anywhere.
- Psychiatrists, perfectly knowing about existence of Psychotronic technologies, intentionally label victims of cybertorture with false psychiatric diagnoses. There must be public exposure of psychiatrists, who intentionally label victims of cybertorture with false psychiatric diagnoses. These psychiatrists are liable to imprisonment for a term up to 15 years.

- Criminal responsibility must be exclusively individual. However, if perpetrators commit crimes in groups, punishment must be tougher than for an individual crime.

- There is one more aspect in misuse of Psychotronic technologies. It is about time to ban access of private business to Psychotronic weapons and neurotechnologies that manipulate human beings as computer devices. If private companies gain access to Psychotronic Weapons, number of their victims, who have never given their informed consent to be attacked by mind control weapons, will increase exponentially. Thus, number of disabled people, unable to work, will grow exponentially as well.

Our demands. It is our responsibility to record and alert the world to these appalling crimes and the extreme danger that these technologies pose to democracy, human rights, privacy, mental and physical freedom, and the health of all people. These are the most heinous weapons and crimes imaginable, comparable to use of atomic weapons, and people, using them, are mass-murdering conspirators, pursuing fascist, totalitarian, fundamentalist schemes.

Doctor Joseph Mengele and other Nazis, who began to develop these techniques in concentration camps during World War II, were brought to North and South America from Europe to continue their atrocities after the II World War. MKUltra was the first known of these illegal and immoral experiments with unwilling victims in Canada. We are the latest victims. THIS MUST STOP. We, victims and representatives of the victims, demand:

- 1). That our human rights are respected.
- 2). That the victims are publicly acknowledged.
- 3). That people, responsible for these crimes, are exposed and brought to justice for these unspeakable crimes against humanity.
- 4). Cease, and uphold the cessation of, activities of described abuse permanently and forever.
- 5). Provide us with means of shielding and protection against electromagnetic effects.
- 6). Compensate detection and removal of microchips by commercial experts. Disclose to us all records of the location of any electronic devices inside or outside the body, affecting us.
- 7). Compensate pain and suffering, physical and mental harm, negligent abuse by the RCMP and police, negligent abuse by doctors, job deprivation, financial losses, illegal use as an involuntary human subject, breach of confidence, breach of privacy.
- 8). Supply us with all profiles, records and diagnoses, opinions and lists, as they pertain to us from the experiments or investigations done.
- 9). Treat all physical and medical injuries, present and future, which result from Psychotronic Weapon crimes at the expense of perpetrators and governments, not at the expense of victims.
- 10). Cancel (reverse) false psychiatric diagnoses.

In addition, we ask the Canadian Government to appoint a Committee to get to the bottom of this most serious matter, based upon:

- 1). The evidence collected, intercepted, and compiled by victims for many years (for example, a TI in our group has records from an American toxicologist Dr. Staninger that she is a victim of specific electromagnetic waves and has nanomaterials in her system that are used as RFID chips to send signals to her mind and body).
- 2). The testimonies, websites, articles, books, letters of victims and victim organizations that have been reporting these crimes on the Internet for more than three decades.

3). The Havana Syndrome testimonials (interviews) of US and Canada diplomats and security officers.

4). Statements from medical doctors, microwave experts, neurotechnology experts, scientists, lawyers.

This gross violation of human rights must be investigated immediately!